Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/595,353	EVANS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kim M. Lewis	3772
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Kim M. Lewis (examiner).	(3)	
(2) <u>Jeffrey S. Bernard (attorney)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 4 December 2009	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 6,14,21 and 24		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/Kim M. Lewis/ Primary Examiner AU 3372		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner telephoned applicant's attorney in order to get permission to amend claims 6, 14, 21 and 24 in order to place the application in condition for allowance. The examiner indicated that the specification did not provide support for the longitudinal ribs extending from one end of the tubular wrapping or tube to an opposing end. The specification provides support for the ribs extracting longitudinally along the length. Applicant's attorney agreed to the changes...